SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE COLLEGE PLANNING COUNCIL

May 20, 2008 3:00 p.m. – 4:30 p.m. A218C

MINUTES

PRESENT: J. Friedlander, A. Scharper, I. Alarcon, D. Cooper, T. Garey, L. Auchincloss,

M. Guillen, C. Ramirez

ABSENT: P. Bishop, S. Ehrlich, J. Sullivan, B. Partee, G. Thielst, K. Molloy [Alarcon proxy]

GUESTS: J. Romo, Judy Meyer [Thielst]

1.0 Call to Order

1.1 Approval of the minutes of the April 29th CPC meeting.

M/S/C [Guillen/Auchincloss] to approve the minutes as corrected. Judy Meyer abstained.

Correction: 3.1 Update on state budget: John Romo

John Romo reported to the Council that he has confirmed with the Chancellor's Office that the Board of Governors will not be giving waivers for the hiring of hew faculty positions in 2008 in order to maintain the 75%/25% 75% full-time/25% part-time faculty ratio. The Chancellor did say that after the May revise if is more definitive that the \$125m reduction that we have already taken in this year's budget is not going to be one time that they will do a recalculation of base funding and that re-calculation could reduce the District's 75%/25% 75% full-time/25% part-time faculty ratio obligation.

2.0 Announcements

There were no announcements.

3.0 Information Items

3.1 Budget update and the implications for SBCC

John Romo gave an update on the budget and recapped the May revise for community colleges which he said was quite positive He said we came out better than the K-12 system. President Romo covered six major items:

- There is almost \$70m of backfill for this year is property tax shortfall
- > There is no COLA for 2008-09 to the General Fund.

- ➤ There will be an adjustment to the 2008-09 budget of \$129m to offset the projected drop in property tax revenue next year
- > There is \$35.5m (1.67%) in growth for the system
- ➤ There is no change to the proposed budget reductions to categorical programs, which range from 3 to 10.89% depending upon the program
- > There will be no increase in the per-unit enrollment fee.

President Romo spoke to the anticipated voter approval of Measure V. Jack Friedlander again commended John Romo on his commitment and dedication to the success of this bond measure.

John Romo communicated that we are going to require that all remaining expenditures against this year's budget be only for essential and/or health and safety items. All requisitions have to be signed by a vice president. He said we need to build our ending balances in order to help us off-set some of the cuts that we might have to make to next year's budget. President Romo said we will need to keep the freeze on filling regular classified and management vacant positions until we know if Measure V is approved by the voters. If the bond passes on June 3rd, then we will review the General Fund positions that are currently open and evaluate them on a case-by-case basis and fill those that we determine are essential for operations. That would be done in EC. With regard to categorical funding, we have asked categorical programs to make their adjustments along the guidelines of the Governor's budget but will continue to use District funds to provide core services. John Romo said that at the May study session we will inform the Board that we are planning the 2008-09 college budget with a 2% reduction to the 2008-09 General Fund. The vice presidents have been asked to look where they can find 2% to reduce from their expenditures. It was reported that \$1,300,000 was taken out of the Equipment Fund and all of the \$1.2m dollars for the facilities maintenance was taken out of the budget.

Liz Auchincloss asked that considering the Governor's budget may not be realistic, are we still going to be careful about what is reinstated? President Romo responded that we will consider each vacant position on a case-by-case and only those considered to be core to the operation of the college will be filled.

3.2 Status of the SLO Implementation Plan

Jack Friedlander reported that we have a very aggressive plan to implement SLOs at the course and program level by the end of next year which should meet the accreditation requirements. For the non-Educational Program in department/units, Darla Cooper has developed in consultation with these areas, a program review method. They do not have to have SLOs but they need to define what their outcomes are, how they will be assessed and used to improve the service, and how the outcomes of the program review ties into the college's budget and planning processes. Dr. Friedlander said that President Andreea Serban will be coming to CPC's summer meeting to discuss the accreditation standards and what changes

she will ask the Council to consider to make to its budget and planning processes so that they are in close alignment with the new accreditation standards.

4.0 Discussion items

4.1 Organizational structure for the accreditation self study

Darla Cooper discussed the organization of the committees and sub-committees for the accreditation self study. She said there are four standards; two are quite large and are broken into sub-sections. There are a total of 11 standard committees. The steering committee is made up of the co-chairs for each of the larger four standards. It will be up to the co-chairs to work together to staff their committees with members with the instruction that the committees need to have representatives from each consultation body.

4.2 Changes to *Board Policy 6251 – Principles of Budget Development* and the addition of Budget Principle 13.

The Council reviewed the latest reiteration of the Budget Principals.

M/S [Alarcon/Guillen] to approve Budget Principle 13.

Discussion: Liz Auchincloss asked how priority would be given when budget restorations are made. Jack Friedlander responded that each vice president would be given a proportional amount of the funds cut in their area. It would be up to each vice president, working through his/her own consultation process, to determine how to restore his/her cuts. Ms. Auchincloss said that is not reflected in the way in which Principle 13 is presently written. The Council modified the language to Principle 13 so that it clearly reflects the intent of the Council:

13. The District is committed to fully restoring budget reductions. Beginning with the budget year 2008/09, the District shall first reinstate the reductions prior to allocating revenues to new positions or expense on a dollar for dollar basis. Restorations will begin when budgeted revenue exceeds budgeted expense and/or ending balances exceed the budgeted increase in expenses and shall be distributed in proportion to the actual reductions across the President's Office and each vice president's budget area.

The Council agreed that the change reflected to Principle 10 should not be made and the original deleted language be restored. The Council further agreed that their charge today was to approve the language of Principle 13 and not to approve the changes recommended by EC [as reflected in the attachment to Item 4.2].

M/S [Garey/Guillen] to amend the main motion to accept the changes to Principal 13 and add the additional language to Principles 2, 3 and 8 and not to accept the EC recommendations to Principals 4 and 10.

The motion was carried to accept the amendment to the main motion.

The main motion was unanimously approved as amended.

Tom Garey asked that it be noted that there will be no Academic Senate action on this item until its summer July 16th meeting. It will be brought back to CPC at its summer meeting after the Academic Senate meets.

4.3 Process for establishing numerical targets for the goals and objectives in the College Plan 2008-2011.

Dr. Friedlander said that we need to establish numerical targets for each of the objectives in the College Plan. He said after meeting with several of the deans in the areas of student access and achievement, we came up with what we thought were reasonable targets for many, but not all, of the objectives in the College Plan: 2008-2011. The deans will be working with the faculty and staff in their respective areas to identify measurable numerical targets for achieving the College Plan objectives in their respective areas. The recommended numerical outcomes will come back to CPC, most likely in the fall. Jack Friedlander will be working with vice presidents to establish targets that haven't been established outside of the ones for which Educational Programs is responsible for achieving.

5.0 Other Items

5.1 Date and topics for summer CPC meeting.

Topics will include budget update: presentation by Dr. Serban on the agenda items she would like CPC to focus on in 2008-09; confirmation of numerical targets for the goals and objectives in the College Plan 2008-2011; and process for integrating outcomes of program reviews and SLOs into the college's budget and planning processes for 2008-09. Because of the low attendance at the Council meeting, a date will be determined by e-mail to Council members. (**Note: Date of July 17**th at 3:00 PM has been determined)

6.0 Adjournment

Santa Barbara City College Comparison of FTES with Previous Year 2007-2008 Annual (July 2008)

CREDIT

| ONEDII | 2007-2008 | 2006-2007 | FTE | Difference S Percentage |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Summer | | | | |
| Resident | | | | |
| Daily Census | 600,4 | 667.6 | -67.2 | -10.1% |
| Positive Attendance | 107.3 | 89.2 | 18.1 | 20.3% |
| Ind Study/Work Exp | 274.8 | 226.0 | 48.8 | 21.6% |
| Subtotal | 982.5 | 982.8 | -0.3 | 0.0% |
| Non-Resident | | | | |
| Daily Census | 73.9 | 70.8 | 3.1 | 4.4% |
| Positive Attendance | 4.0 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 41.3% |
| Ind Study/Work Exp | 13.2 | 11.5 | 1.7 | 15.1% |
| Subtotal | 91.1 | 85.1 | 6.0 | 7.1% |
| Total | 1,073.6 | 1,067.9 | 5.7 | 0.5% |
| Fall | | | | |
| Resident | | | | |
| Weekly Census | 5,152.3 | 4,582.7 | 569.7 | 12.4% |
| Daily Census | 167.9 | 192.7 | -24.8 | -12.9% |
| Positive Attendance | 549.0 | 483.1 | 65.9 | 13.6% |
| Ind Study/Work Exp | 198.4 | 793.4 | -595.1 | - 75.0% |
| Subtotal | 6,067.7 | 6,052.0 | <i>15.7</i> | 0.3% |
| Non-Resident | | | | |
| Weekly Census | 788.6 | 627.0 | 161.7 | 25.8% |
| Daily Census | 16.7 | 14.4 | 2.3 | 15.7% |
| Positive Attendance | 51.8 | 30.4 | 21.4 | 70.4% |
| Ind Study/Work Exp | 10.4 | 52.8 | -42.4 | -80.4% |
| Subtotal | 867.5 | 724.5 | 142.9 | 19.7% |
| Total | 6,935.1 | 6,776.5 | 158.6 | 2.3% |

Santa Barbara City College Comparison of FTES with Previous Year 2007-2008 Annual (July 2008)

| | 2007-2008 | 2006-2007 | | fference Percentage |
|---|---------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Spring | | | | |
| Resident | | | | |
| Weekly Census | 5,092.3 | 4,429.9 | 662.4 | 15.0% |
| Daily Census | 223.0 | 296.9 | -73.9 | -24.9% |
| Positive Attendance* | 593.6 | 582.4 | 11.2 | 1.9% |
| Ind Study/Work Exp | 212.2 | 837.3 | -625.1 | -74.7% |
| Subtotal | 6,121.1 | 6,146.4 | -25.3 | -0.4% |
| Non-Resident | | | | |
| Weekly Census | 838.5 | 634.6 | 203.9 | 32.1% |
| Daily Census | 16.0 | 17.0 | -1.0 | -5.8% |
| Positive Attendance | 46.6 | 40.3 | 6.3 | 15.7% |
| Ind Study/Work Exp | 12.3 | 46.3 | -34.0 | -73.4% |
| Subtotal | 913.5 | <i>738.2</i> | 1 <i>7</i> 5.3 | <i>23.7</i> % |
| Total | 7,034.6 | 6,884.6 | 150.0 | 2.2% |
| Total Credit FTES Earned | 15,043.3 | 14,729.0 | 314.3 | 2.1% |
| * Includes 29.19 projected FTES for Spi | ring 2008 classes w | here hours have yet to | o be reported. | |
| otal Credit RESIDENT Earned | 13,171.2 | 13,181.1 | -9.9 | -0.1% |
| Borrowed FTES in 2006-2007, Repayment in 2007-2008 | -125.76 | -1.9 | | |
| Borrewed FTES from 2008-2009 | 191.68 | | | |
| Total Credit FTES RESIDENT Reported | 13,237.1 | 13,179.2 | 57.9 | 0.4% |
| Total Credit FTES Non-Resident | 1,872.1 | 1,547.9 | 324.2 | 20.9% |
| NON-CREDIT | | | | |
| | 2007-2008 | 2006-2007 | | fference Percentage |
| Summer | 320.2 | 310.0 | 10.2 | 3.3% |
| Fall | 849.2 | 826.8 | 22.3 | 2.7% |
| Spring | 1,426.6 | 1,252.7 | 173.9 | 13.9% |
| Total Non-credit FTES Reported | 2,595.9 | 2,389.5 | 206.4 | 8.6% |
| Total FTES Reported | 15,833.1 | 15,568.7 | 264.3 | 1.7% |

Santa Barbara City College Comparison of FTES with Previous Year 2007-2008 Annual (July 2008)

| | 2007-2008 | 2006-2007 | | fference ercentage |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------------------|
| Enhanced Non-Credit FTES | | | | |
| Summer | 140.52 | 143.68 | -3.2 | -2.2% |
| Fall | 315.99 | 304.95 | 11.0 | 3.6% |
| Winter | 237.92 | 233.76 | 4.2 | 1.8% |
| Spring | 220.70 | 184.90 | 35.8 | 19.4% |
| Total | 915.13 | 867.29 | 47.8 | 5.5% |
| Center FTES | | | | |
| Schott | | | | |
| Non-credit Wake | 1,221.36 | 1,088.99 | 132.4 | 12.2% |
| Non-credit | 1,374.58 | 1,300.51 | 74.1 | 5.7% |
| Credit | 156.78 | 70.13 | 86.7 | 123.6% |
| Subtotal | 1,531.36 | 1,370.64 | 160.7 | 11.7% |
| Total | 2,752.72 | 2,459.63 | 293.1 | 11.9% |

Santa Barbara City College FTES Targets 2007-2008

| | Allowable Growth (1.15%) | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|
| | - | | Noncredit | | | |
| | Credit | Enhanced | Non- Enhanced | Total | Total | |
| 2006-2007 Apportionment Base Potential Growth FTES | 13,179.25 151.56 | 867.29 9.97 | 1,522.21 17.51 | 2,389.50 27.48 | 15,568.75 179.04 | |
| SBCC Growth Rate | 1.150% | 1.150% | 1.150% | 1.150% | 1.150% | |
| 2007-2008 Growth Target | 13,330.81 | 877.26 | 1,539.72 | 2,416.98 | 15,747.79 | |
| 2007-2008 FTES Reported P1 [Jan 24 2008] Assumes Sp 08 is 4.47% higher than Fall 07 | 13,343.22 | 1,051.33 | 1,367.97 | 2,419.30 | 15,762.52 | |
| P2 [May 2 2008] Credit figure includes FTES borrowed from Summer 08 | 13,343.98 | 1,049.45 | 1,370.73 | 2,420.18 | 15,764.16 | |
| Annual [July 11 2008] Credit figure includes 191.68 FTES borrowed from Summer 2008 | 13,237.15 | 915.13 | 1,680.81 | 2,595.94 | 15,833.09 | |
| Difference between Growth Targ | et and Actu | al | | | | |
| FTES Difference at P1 | 12.41 | 174.07 | -171.75 | 2.32 | 17.05 | |
| % Difference | 0.09% | 19.84% | -11.15% | 0.10% | 0.11% | |
| FTES Difference at P2 | 13.17 | 172.19 | -168.99 | 3.20 | 19.57 | |
| % Difference | 0.10% | 16.41% | -12.33% | 0.13% | 0.12% | |
| FTES Difference at Annual % Difference | -93.66 -0.71% | 37.87 4.14% | 141.09 8.39% | 178.96 6.89% | 85.30 0.54% | |
| % Difference | -0.7176 | 4.1470 | 0.39 / | 0.09 /6 | 0.5476 | |
| Difference between Current Year | r and Last Yo | ear Apporti | onment Bas | e | | |
| FTES Difference at P1 | 163.97 | 184.04 | -154.24 | 29.80 | 223.57 | |
| % Difference | 1.24% | 21.22% | -10.13% | 1.25% | 1.44% | |
| FTES Difference at P2 | 164.73 | 182.16 | -151.48 | 30.68 | 195.41 | |
| % Difference | 1.25% | 21.00% | -9.95% | 1.28% | 1.26% | |
| FTES Difference at Annual % Difference | 57.90 0.44% | 47.84 5.52% | 158.60 10.42% | 206.44 8.64% | 264.34 1.70% | |
| Total FTES (As of Annual) | | | | | | |
| Credit | 10 007 15 | | | | | |
| Resident FTES Nonresident FTES | 13,237.15 1,872.10 | | | | | |
| Credit Total FTES Noncredit Total FTES | 15,109.25 2,595.94 | | | | | |
| Total SBCC FTES | 17,528.55 | I | ncludes reside | ent and non-re | esident, credi | |

2007-08 SBCC Growth Funding Available \$854,298 (per Exhibit C P2 June 20, 2008)

2007-08 FTES Growth over 2006-07 Base

| | FTES | \$/FTES | Total \$ Growth |
|---------------------|--------|------------|-----------------|
| Non-credit | 158.60 | \$2,744.96 | \$435,350.66 |
| Non-credit enhanced | 47.84 | \$3,232.07 | \$154,622.23 |
| Credit | 57.90 | \$4,564.83 | \$264,303.66 |
| TOTAL | 264.34 | | \$854,276.54 |

13,179.25 2007-08 Credit FTES Base 57.90 FTES needed over Base 13,237.15 2007-08 Credit FTES Target 13,045.47 2007-08 Credit FTES Earned 191.68 FTES need to borrow from Summer 2008

13,237.15 2007-08 Credit FTES Reported

SBCC INTERNATIONAL STUDENT SUPPORT PROGRAM

| | | 1 | | | | TOTAL | E. |
|--|--------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|
| | FALL 2007 | SPRING 2008 | TOTAL ANNUAL | FALL 2008 | SPRING 2009 | ANNUAL | ESTIMATED NUMBERS |
| Total Enrolled Units | 11,298 | 12,838 | 24,136 | 13,650 | 14,700 | 28,350 | |
| Enrolled Units per Student | 14 | | | 14 | 14 | 28 | |
| FTES | 365.84 | | 782 | 442 | 476 | 918 | |
| Student Headcount | 807 | | 1,724 | 975 | 1,050 | 2,025 | |
| Tuition per Unit | \$179 | \$179 | | \$188 | \$188 | | <u> </u> |
| Enroll Fee per Unit | \$20 | \$20 | | \$20 | \$20 | | |
| REVENUE | | | | | | | |
| Application Fees | 7,500 | 7,500 | 15,000 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 15,000 | |
| International Tuition | 2,022,342 | 2,298,002 | 4,320,344 | 2,566,200 | 2,763,600 | 5,329,800 | |
| Enrollment Fees | 225,960 | 256,760 | 482,720 | 273,000 | 294,000 | 567,000 | |
| | 2,255,802 | 2,562,262 | 4,818,064 | 2,848,339 | 3,065,100 | 5,913,439 | |
| TOTAL ANNUAL REVENUE | | | 4,818,064 | | | 5,913,439 | |
| EXPENSES | | | 2007/2008 | | | 2008/09 | |
| Salaries | | | 415,718 | | | 440,232 | |
| Benefits | | | 105,024 | | | 111,914 | |
| Supplies | | | 24,468 | | | 24,033 | |
| Budgeted Operating Expenses | | | 236,213 | | | 228,264 | |
| TOTAL COST CENTER EXPENSES | | | 781,423 | | | 804,443 | |
| COST OF INSTRUCTION (3 TLUs per 25 students at \$1498/TLU) | 145,066 | 164,840 | 309,906 | 175,266 | 188,748 | 364,014 | |
| ANNUAL COST OF INSTRUCTION | | | 309,906 | | | 364,014 | |
| TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENSE (including | cost of inst | ruction) | 1,091,329 | | | 1,168,457 | |
| NET CONTRIBUTION TO DISTRICT | | | 3,728,281 | | | 4,744,982 | |
| ANTICIPATED ADDITIONAL COSTS for | | | | | | | |
| Additional international student adv | | | | | | 75,000 | |
| Additional shared marketing fees * | | | | | | 77,490 | |
| Additional duplicating, student hou | | T & C | | | | 9,000 | |
| ANTICIPATED ADDITIONAL EXPENSE | S | | | | | 161,490 | |
| TOTAL ACTUAL AND ANTICIPATED E | XPENSES | | | | | 1,329,947 | |
| ANTICIPATED NET CONTRIBUTION T | O DISTRICT | | | | | 4,583,492 | |

^{*} As per the Board approved reorganization proposal, an additional international student advisor was supposed to be hired once the total number of international students exceeded 750 students.

ne first two semesters for students who are referred by our marketing partners. It is estimated that 80% of our students are referred by marketing partners. The above listed chart does not include revenue generated by food purchases and campus bookstore purchases made by international students.

14cm

^{**} Shared marketing fees are 15 % of tuition, enrollment fees, health, transportation, application fee based on 12 units payable for the first two semesters for students who are referred by our marketing partners. It is estimated that 80% of our students are referred by marketing partners.

International Student Application/Enrollment Statistics

| Date | Fall 2006 | Fall 2007 | Fall 2008 | Fall 2009 | Fall 2010 |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| 03/01 | | 73 | 120 | | |
| 04/01 | | 115 | 174 | | |
| 05/01 | 139 | 182 | 266 | | |
| 06/01 | 216 | 365 | 404 | | |
| 07/14 | 308 | 477 | 540 | | |
| 08/01 Total - Applications | 362 | 539 | 600 (estimate) | | |
| New enrolled | 252 | 393 | | | |
| Total Enrollment | 611 | 807 | | | |
| Total ELSP | 104 | 164 | | | |
| Total ISAP | 507 | 642 | | | |

| Date | Spring 2007 | Spring 2008 | Spring 2009 | Spring 2010 |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 10/01 | 73 | 171 | | |
| 11/01 | 148 | 278 | | |
| 12/10 Total - Applications | 242 | 435 | | |
| New enrolled | 167 | 373 | | |
| Total enrollment | 672 | 920 | | |
| Total ELSP | 119 | 197 | | |
| Total ISAP | 553 | 723 | | |

| Date | Summer 2007 | Summer 2008 | Summer 2009 | Summer 2010 |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 03/01 | 89 | 129 | | |
| 04/01 | 105 | 187 | | |
| 05/01 Total - Applications | 137 | 241 | | |
| New enrolled | 66 | 148 | | |
| Total Enrollment | 342 | 463 | | |
| Total ELSP | 68 | 94 | | |
| Total ISAP | 313 | 369 | | |

College Planning Council July 17, 2008

Attachments 6 and 7 of the College Planning Council July 17, 2008 meeting are missing.

Construction and Renovation Fund

| | _ | UNFUNDED DISTRICT PROJECTS | _ | | _ | _ | |
|------------|------|---|------|----------------------------|------|-------|-----------|
| Health and | Safe | ty Projects | Es | timated Cost | | | |
| | 0.50 | Install cafeteria grease trap & replace GDR floor drains | | 75,000 | | | |
| | | Pigeon decontamination - Parking Structure | | 80,000 | | | |
| | | Replace bleacher seating - La Playa stadium | | 50,000 | | | 00 |
| | | Replace/repair bridge deck | | 1,000,000 | | | ?? |
| | 0.55 | | | | | | 4.005.00 |
| | | subtotal for Health and Safety | | | | \$ | 1,205,00 |
| | 0.65 | Oblidants On the spine was in a second of | | 100.000 | | | 00 |
| 0505 | | Children's Center - misc. repairs and upgrades | | 100,000 | | | ?? |
| 6525 | | Chiller coil replacement and coating | | 189,200 248 ,000 | | | |
| | | DM Air Handler Replacement | | \$150,000 | | | |
| | | Emergency loudspeaker system Exterior electronic locks campus wide | | \$300,000 | | | ?? |
| | | HRC - elevator repairs (Hendricks) | | \$18,000 | | | |
| 0/2/2000 | | Humanities - replace Allerton EMS controls with Johnson | | 25,000 | | | |
| | | IDC - Replace flooring & paint interior | | 500,000 | | | |
| | | Modernize Press Box | | 1,706,000 | | | |
| 167 | | PE - new landscape at ramps, 3rd floor and La Playa | | 100,000 | | | |
| | | PE - upgrade all restrooms | | 200,000 | | | |
| | | Repair & refinish trellis @ Student Services building | | 250,000 | | | |
| 4/9/2008 | | Repair Roofing - ECC 5-7, 17, 18, 21 and Bookstore | | \$75,000 | | | |
| 3/14/2008 | | Sports Pavilion - handrail at upper walkway | | \$17,000 | | | |
| 0/14/2000 | | Upgrade elevators at Admin & MDT (F&O) | | 100,000 | | | |
| | | Upgrade energency Phone system | | 50,000 | | | |
| | | Upgrade Energy Management System (EMS) to Back net | | 175,000 | | | |
| 6/19/2008 | | Video surveillance cameras in Oak Restoration area | | \$40,000 | | | |
| 0/13/2000 | 1.05 | Video surveillance cameras in Oak nestoration area | | \$40,000 | | | |
| | | Subtotal for Priority 1 | | | | \$ | 4,243,20 |
| | 1.15 | | | | 511 | 8-412 | 1,2 10,20 |
| 6596 | | CC bldg seismic re-glazing (remainder) | | 298,400 | | | |
| 6561 | | Exterior paint - IDC, BC | | 100,000 | | | |
| | | Humanities - Chiller pump retrofit | | 25,000 | | | |
| | | Humanities - soundproof rooms 300-303 | | 33,000 | | | |
| 3/14/2008 | | Humanities - south stairwell upgrades | | \$11,000 | | | |
| 5/14/2000 | | LRC Heating - install reheat system | | 25,000 | | | |
| 3/21/2008 | 2.00 | LRC Remodel (Pike): Phase I | | | | | |
| 3/2 1/2006 | | MDT - resurface driveway | | \$150,000 35,000 | | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| - | | New carpet - A183, MDT classroom, H300,H304, H307, H308 | | 25,000 | | | |
| 3/4/2008 | | New VCT-BC101, PE upstairs locker room | | 25,000 | | | |
| 3/4/2008 | | OE 180 - replace heating system | | \$20,000 | | | |
| | | Paint interior (misc. OE, Hum, IDC, PE rooms) | | 25,000 | | | |
| - | | PE - paint hallways on first and second floor of pavilion PE - recarpet men's team room & women's staff locker room | | 25,000 25,000 | | | |
| | | Pershing Park - replace screens at tennis courts | | | | | |
| • | | | | 15,000 | | | Title IX |
| *. | | Pershing Park - softball facility upgrade | | 200,000 | | | THE IX |
| | | Replace doors and door hardware - Sports Pavilion | | 100,000 | | | |
| | | Replace HVAC units in ECC1-15 | | 42,000 | | | |
| • | | Replace lockers - women & men's locker rooms | | 25,000 | | | |
| • | | Replace seating in PS-101 | | 50,000 | | | |
| 1.0 | | Replace urinals, toilets and drinking fountains | | 50,000 | | | |
| | | Schott Center - emergency generator and lighting system | | 82,240 | | | |
| | | Sports Pavilion - Paint 2nd floor hallway | | \$10,000 | | | |
| 6531 | | Student Services - air balance | | 125,000 | | | |
| • | | Student Services - Recarpet second floor | | 50,000 | | | |
| | | Wake - replace child size plumbing fixtures w/standard | | 25,000 | | | |
| | | Wake Center - resurface parking lot | | 100,000 | | | |
| 6576 | | East campus all-weather bus stop | | 100,000 | | | |
| 6555 | | Horticulture fencing and path | | 90,000 | | | |
| 6518 | | Loma Alta Crosswalk ADA Compliance | | 100,000 | | | 0.5 |
| 3/21/2008 | 3.00 | LRC Remodel (Pike): Phase II | | \$150,000 | | | ?? |
| (8) | | PE - new cabinets and seating in LFC & HPC | | 25,000 | | | |
| | | Replace carpets in Humanities building | | 525,000 | | | |
| ₩ ? | | Replace Floor in Sports Pavillon | | 1,002,793 | | | |
| | | PE - paint exterior | | 100,000 | 100 | | |
| | | PE - replace bleachers in gym | | 50,000 | | | ?? |
| * | | Resurface artificial turf at La Playa | | 500,000 | | | ?? |
| 05.10 | | Resurface track at La Playa | | 250,000 | | | |
| 6549 | | Sculpture area roof | | 75,000 | | | |
| | | Wake Center - construct office off computer lab | | 50,000 | | | |
| | 3.10 | | Timy | | | - 11 | 10 10/10 |
| | | subtotal for Priority 2&3 | | | | \$ | 4,714,43 |
| | 3.30 | TOTAL (UNFUNDED PROJECTS) = | \$ | 10,162,633 | \$ - | \$ | 10,162,63 |
| | 4.10 | | | | | | |
| | 4.20 | FUTURE PROJECTS | | | | | |
| | 4.25 | | | | | | |
| | 4.25 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Projects specifically mentioned in the bond support are in green highlight
?? = No estimate or don't really know
Might not be required any longer

Item 8

PRINCIPLES OF BUDGET DEVELOPMENT

The Principles of Budget Development shall be used to allocate resources and thereby protect Santa Barbara Community College District's viability as an institution capable of fulfilling its educational mission. The allocation of resources shall accomplish the following goals:

- Support student enrollment, retention and success
- Maintain the highest quality of instruction and services
- ° Meet the legal, contractual and accreditation obligations of the College
- 1. The College shall balance its budget; ongoing expenses shall be supported by ongoing income. A general fund contingency of 5 percent shall be maintained. One-time funds shall not be used to fund programs or activities on an ongoing basis.
- 2. The faculty, staff and administrators are one of Santa Barbara City College's greatest resources. Lay-offs of regular certificated and classified <u>staff-employees</u> will be avoided if possible. In the event of funding shortfalls, positions that become vacant may not be filled, and reassignments may be necessary.
- 3. In the event of one-time funding shortfalls or unanticipated expenses, reserves may be used to facilitate budget adjustments while expenses are reduced in the least disruptive manner. Use of reserves shall be short-term and reestablishment of the 5 percent contingency reserve shall be the highest priority.
- 4. Cost effectiveness in all areas shall be a major factor in considering reduction/retention of programs and services rather than instituting across the board cuts.
- 5. Employee compensation shall be among the highest priorities in making budget decisions. Funds shall be allocated in order to assure competitive salaries, benefits, and working conditions to recruit, retain, and motivate the best possible administrators, faculty and staff.
- 6. The College shall adhere to the principles of shared governance while engaging in institutional planning and budget development.
- 7. Meeting the College's FTES (full-time equivalent student) cap in a cost-effective and strategic manner shall be a priority.
- 8. The College shall budget revenue <u>based on the District's enrollment projections for FTES.</u> from enrollment when it is received. Similarly, for non-apportionment income (e.g., out-of-state fees, international student fees, interest income, lottery), an estimate shall be made utilizing trends in order to establish revenue projections.
- 9. Fixed and mandated costs (e.g., utilities, liability and property insurance, salary steps, and reserve requirements) shall be projected annually, and allocations will be made to meet these expenses.
- 10. Growth funds shall be allocated only after receipt and shall be used first to fund growth-related expenses. Remaining growth funds shall be allocated according to budget principles to support institutional priorities determined through collegial consultation.

- 11. The District's capital equipment shall be provided, maintained and replaced in a systematic manner. Determining the need for transfers from the General Fund to the Equipment Fund shall be part of the ongoing fiscal planning and budget process.
- 12. Buildings and grounds shall be developed and maintained to meet student and programmatic needs through General Fund transfers to the Construction Fund. Determining the need for transfers from the General Fund to Construction Fund shall be part of the ongoing fiscal planning and budget process.
- 13. Reinstatement of General Fund budget reductions resulting from funding shortfalls and/or increased infrastructure costs shall receive highest priority when new funds are available. These reinstatements shall be given first consideration prior to any new funding allocations.
- Note: The language for Budget Principle 13 recommenced by the Superintendent/President and endorsed by members of EC differs from the following statement that was recommended by CPC.
- 13. The District is committed to fully restoring budget reductions. Beginning with the budget year 2008/09, the District shall first reinstate the reductions prior to allocating revenues to new positions or expense on a dollar for dollar basis. Restorations will begin when budgeted revenue exceeds budgeted expense and/or ending balances exceed the budgeted increase in expenses and shall be distributed in proportion to the actual reductions across the President's Office and each vice president's budget area.